

Legislative Assembly
Backbay Reclamation
Vidhan Bhawan Marg
Mumbai 400 032

Telegram address: Legislature
Telephone No.: 2202 73 99
Fax No.: 2202 45 24

Maharashtra Legislature

No. 1140/MLA/A
Date 21 JAN 2021

Sender:
Secretary,
Maharashtra Legislature Secretariat

To,
Mr. Gangadhar Patil,
1886, Second Floor,
4th Main Road 8th cross road,
New Thippasandra, Bengaluru,
Karnataka – 560075

Subject: - Application for getting information available vide Right to Information Act, 2005

Reference : - This secretariat's reminder letter No. MLA/A, dated 11 November 2020

Sir,

Reference is made to the referred letter on the aforesaid matter, since you have made payment of Rupees 59/- (in words Rupees Fifty nine only) to this secretariat for the expected information about the bill, information pertaining to **point No. 3** has been made available to you along with this letter and information about point 1 and 2 is been invalidated by paying attention to section 8(3) of the Right to Information Act, 2005.

Apart from this you are hereby informed about point number (3) given to you, that the said information can not be presented anywhere as proof. Also, till the office report of the Minutes gets published vide M.L.A. rule 312, any such copy can not be used to publish in any ways.

..2/-

If you wish to appeal about this, then the appellate officer's address is as follows

Dy Secretary and Appellate Officer
Maharashtra Legislative Assembly Secretariat,
Vidhan Bhawan, Mumbai – 400 032

Yours,

<Signature>

(Subhash Nalawade)

Under Secretary and Information Officer
Maharashtra Legislative Assembly Secretariat,

Fin R. Form No. 1

Gen. 113 me

ORIGINAL COPY

[NON – TRANSFERABLE]

RECEIPT FOR PAYMENT TO GOVERNMENT

Place Mumbai Date 20/1/2021

Received from Mr. Gangadhar Patil

Rs. 59/- (Rupees Fifty nine only)

on account of Right to Information

Cashier or Accountant

Section Officer

<illegible>

<illegible>

Vidhan Bhavan, Mumbai – 32

HDFC BANK

004272

23.12.2020

BENGALURU

22 November <illegible>

PUTTING UP DOCUMENTS TO THE HOUSE

B. The Governor gave consent to the below bills.

1. L.A.B. number 35 of the year 2007 – Maharashtra City Councils, City Panchayats and Industrial <illegible> (Amendment) bill, 2007
2. L.A.B. number 36 of the year 2007 – Mumbai Village Panchayat and Maharashtra Zilla Parishad and Panchayat Committee (Amendment) bill, 2007
3. L.A.B. number 37 of the year 2007 – Maharashtra Land Revenue Act (Second Amendment) bill, 2007
4. L.A.B. number 38 of the year 2007 – Maharashtra Land Revenue Act (Third Amendment) bill, 2007
5. L.A.B. number 39 of the year 2007 – Maharashtra Value Added Tax (Amendment) bill, 2007
6. L.A.B. number 42 of the year 2007 – Maharashtra (second supplement) bill, 2007
7. L.A.B. number 43 of the year 2007 – Maharashtra Tax Related Laws (Applying tax, amendments and legalisation) bill, 2007
8. L.A.B. number 3 of the year 2007 – Retirement Pension of Maharashtra Legislative Assembly Members (temporary Amendment) bill, 2007

Presenting the report of Employment Guarantee Scheme Committee

Mr. Sanjay Devtale (Committee Chief) : Respected Speaker, presenting fourteenth report of Employment Guarantee Scheme Committee to the house with your permission.

Speaker: Fourteenth report of Employment Guarantee Scheme Committee has been presented to the house.

L. A. BILL OF LIII OF 2007

(A BILL FURTHER TO AMEND THE MAHARASHTRA PREVENTION OF DANGEROUS ACTIVITIES OF SLUMLORDS, BOOTLEGGERS, DRUG-OFFENDERS AND DANGEROUS PERSONS ACT, 1981.)

Mr. Harshvardhan Patil (Legislative Affairs Minister): Hon. Sir, I request house's permission to put up L.A.B. number 53 of the year 2007 – bill to amend Maharashtra Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Slumlords, Bootleggers, Drug-Offenders and Dangerous Persons Act, 1981

The question was put up for polling and got passed

Speaker: The permission has been granted.

Mr. Harshvardhan Patil: Respected Speaker, I am putting up L.A.B. number 53 of the year 2007

Speaker: The bill has been put up.

L. A. BILL NO. LIV OF 2007

(A BILL FURTHER TO AMEND THE MAHARASHTRA CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES ACT 1960)

Mr. Harshvardhan Patil (Legislative Affairs Minister): Hon. Sir, I request house's permission to put up L.A.B. number <ILLEGIBLE> of the year 2007 – bill to amend The Maharashtra Co-Operative Societies Act 1960

November 2007

MUMBAI PROVINCIAL CORPORATION, NAGPUR CITY MUNICIPAL CORPORATION AND
MAHARASHTRA CITY COUNCILS, CITY PANCHAYATS AND INDUSTRIAL CITY (AMENDMENT) BILL

[Narasayya Aadam]

Can you move them after they have paid the tax? Honourable Deputy Chief Minister R.R. Patil has worked with us. He is more expert than me. He has received the best assembly member award. In spite of this our government is bringing of such a bill? What is this, it's not understood. Separate justice to poor and to the rich. We are not providing support to the needy. The one who doesn't require support, we are providing protection to him.

Board Speaker : Discussion on this bill is been stopped now. Discussions on the aforesaid bill will be done tomorrow. Hon. Member Mr. Narasayya Aadam would be on leg.

Mr. Narasayya Aadam: Ok. Tomorrow, I will start my speech freshly.

Board Speaker : Now L.A.B. 53 will be brought on.

L. A. BILL OF LIII OF 2007

(A BILL FURTHER TO AMEND THE MAHARASHTRA PREVENTION OF DANGEROUS ACTIVITIES OF SLUMLORDS, BOOTLEGGERS, DRUG-OFFENDERS AND DANGEROUS PERSONS ACT, 1981.)

Mr. R. R. Patil (Dy Chief Minister) : Hon. Speaker, I present the proposal to take into consideration the L.A.B. number 53 of the year 2007 – the bill to put in more amendments in the Maharashtra Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Slumlords, Bootleggers, Drug-Offenders and Dangerous Persons Act, 1981

The question was put up

Board Speaker: Mr. Sudhir Mungantiwar, Prof. Atul Deshkar, Mr. Girish Mahajan have put in the proposal about sending the bill to the joint committee. One of them should put it up.

Proposal was not presented.

Board Speaker: Mr. Devendra Fadnavis, Mrs. Shobhatai Fadnavis have put in the proposal about sending the bill to the joint committee. One of them should put it up.

Proposal was not presented.

Board Speaker: Mr. Girish Bapat, Prof. Sahebrao Tatte have put in the proposal about sending the bill to the joint committee. One of them should put it up.

Proposal was not presented.

Board Speaker: Mr. Eknathrao Ganpatrao Khadse (Patil), Adv. Ajit Gogate, Dr. Dilip Yelgaonkar, Adv. Vijay Jadhav, Gopal Shetty, Mr. Prakash Mehta, Sardar Tarasingh have put in the proposal about sending the bill to the joint committee. One of them should put it up.

(Honourable members absent)

A BILL (AMENDMENT) FOR PREVENTION OF DANGEROUS ACTIVITIES OF SLUMLORDS, BOOTLEGGERS,
DRUG-OFFENDERS AND DANGEROUS PERSONS.

Board Speaker: Mr. Narasayya Aadam has the proposal to put up the bill to demand remarks about the same. He should present it.

Proposal was not presented.

Mr. Narasayya Aadam (Solapur City South) : Hon. Speaker, I am going to present only two points on the bill.

There is discontent in the people about the DSP Mr. Sagar involved in Khairlanji matter, so D.Y. S. P. has been transferred. Mr. Sagar has come on deputation. Shrikrishna Commission was appointed to conduct judicial enquiry about the Mumbai riots. 900 people got killed in those riots. No actions are taken by the government about the recommendations done by the appointed Shrikrishna Commission in concern to the occurred incident. I have also given information about the Parbhani matter to Hon. Dy Chief Minister. Main accused in that matter hasn't been arrested yet. Therefore, if the Hon. Dy Chief Minister can explain about these questions, then I will get satisfied.

Mr. R. R. Patil (Home Minister): Sir, a labour leader got beaten up at Parbhani. On getting this information from the Hon. Member a squad was detailed yesterday itself, and five accused in this matter have been arrested by today's noon. All accused along with the main shall get arrested. No accused in the matter will be left free. Actions taken about the recommendations of Shrikrishna Commission would be explained in tomorrow's discussions on Law and order answering. Information pertaining to the actions already taken by the government and which are ongoing would also be given.

Also, the honourable member has brought forward the point pertaining to Kharilanji incident. I want to state in regards to the incident that, the senior officers investigated the matter again and again. Presently the case has been given to CBI. Also, I want to state about Mr. Sagar that he is from backward class, I had received information about his excellent work, therefore ...

Mr. Narasayya Aadam: Speaker sir, Honourable Mr. Balasaheb Ambedkar himself told me that, I am from the same cast of which the Superintendent of police is from. Therefore, the matter pertaining to this superintendent of police may be brought into the knowledge of the Hon. Home Minister and ask him to transfer his services.

Mr. R. R. Patil (Home Minister): Sir, I will also speak to Honourable Mr. Balasaheb Ambedkar and find out what different information he has about the Superintendent of Police. If it is found to be factual, then action would be taken against this Superintendent of Police.

Sir, the bill presented in the house is very small. As per the provisions of the previous bill, in order to prohibit the dangerous acts, authority to confine were delegated by Home Department to District Collectors on district levels and to Police Commissioner on Commissioner's office level.

A BILL (AMENDMENT) FOR PREVENTION OF DANGEROUS ACTIVITIES OF SLUMLORDS, BOOTLEGGERS,
DRUG-OFFENDERS AND DANGEROUS PERSONS.

As per the current provisions, those powers need to be delegated for three-three months. After every three months orders are to be issued pertaining to delegate these powers. Sometimes if there is delay in issuance of orders, the authority for confinement can not be delegated to District Collector and Police Commissioners. This causes accused to get undue advantage and they get bail. If those powers are delegated for six months instead of three months then the government would be in position to take review. Also there would be no need to delegate powers after every three months. This bill is been presented to have only this amendment. Therefore, my request to the house to pass this bill unanimously.

The question was put up for polling and got passed

Board Speaker: The bill has been taken into consideration. Now the bill will be taken into consideration chapter wise.

(Chapter 2 bill's part done)
(Chapter 1, complete heading and purpose sentence bill's part done)

Mr. R. R. Patil: Sir, now I am putting a proposal to pass the L. A. B. Number – 53 of the year 2007, with your permission.

The question was put up for polling and got passed

Board Speaker: L. A. B. Number – 53 of the year 2007 has been passed.

About taking Non-Governmental Bills and Resolutions in next convention

Mr. Sudhir Mungantiwar : Speaker Sir, the non-government bills and non-governmental resolutions on today's worksheet which are of my name are to be dealt in the next session as per hon. Minister's instruction and the Hon. Speaker sir has granted permission for the same. Therefore, my Non-Governmental Bills and Resolutions may be taken into consideration as per priority sequence in next convention.

Board Speaker: Okay. The non-government bills and non-governmental resolutions of Mr. Sudhir Mungantiwar will be taken on in next convention.

Non-Governmental Work

L. A. BILL NO. XXX OF 2007

(A BILL FURTHER TO AMEND THE MAHARASHTRA FELLING OF TREES (REGULATION) ACT, 1964.)

Mr. Girish Bapat (Kasba Peth): Speaker sir, I am asking permission of the house to put up a proposal to pass the L. A. B. Number – 30 of the year 2007 to further amend the Maharashtra Felling of Trees (regulation) Act, 1964.

The question was put up for polling and got passed

Board Speaker : Does Hon. Member Mr. Girish Bapat wish to withdraw his proposal to send the bill to the joint committee?

Mr. Girish Bapat: Sir, I withdraw the proposal about sending it to the joint committee by opposing the polling and keeping the right intact. While withdrawing the proposal, hon. Minister sir hasn't replied to my question till now. I again want to ask the honorable Minister sir whether all the posts that are vacant in the Transportation Department, will all of them will be filled?

Mr. Gulabrao Devkar : Sir, Suggestion for action.

Mr. Girish Bapat: Sir, since the Hon. Minister sir has given positive answer, I ask permission from the house to withdraw the proposal.

The proposal was withdrawn on permission by the house

Board Speaker: Now I put up the question about taking the bill into consideration for polling

The question was put up for polling and got passed

Board Speaker: The bill has been taken into consideration. Now the bill will be taken into consideration chapter wise.

(Chapter 2 and 3 bill's part done)

(Chapter 1, complete heading and purpose sentence bill's part done)

Mr. Gulabrao Devkar: Sir, now I am putting a proposal to pass the L. A. B. Number – 18 of the year 2009, with your permission.

The question was put up for polling and got passed

Board Speaker: L. A. B. Number – 18 of the year 2009 has been passed

MOTION FOR DISAPPROVAL OF ORDINANCE OF NO. X OF 2009. (AN ORDINANCE FURTHER TO AMEND THE MAHARASHTRA PREVENTION OF DANGEROUS ACTIVITIES OF SLUM-LORDS. BOOTLEGGERS, DRUG OFFENDERS AND DANGEROUS PERSONS ACT, 1981) AND L. C. BILL NO. VII OF 2009 (A BILL FURTHER TO AMEND THE MAHARASHTRA PREVENTION OF DANGEROUS ACTIVITIES OF SLUM-LORDS. BOOTLEGGERS, DRUG OFFENDERS AND DANGEROUS PERSONS ACT, 1981) AND MOTIONS FOR REFERRING THE BILL TO JOINT SELECT COMMITTEE.

Board Speaker : Does Hon. Member Mr. Girish Bapat wish to withdraw his proposal to send the bill to the joint committee?

Mr. Girish Bapat: Sir, I withdraw the proposal about sending it to the joint committee by opposing the polling and keeping the right intact. While withdrawing the proposal, hon. Minister sir hasn't replied to my question till now. I again want to ask the honorable Minister sir whether all the posts that are vacant in the Transportation Department, will all of them will be filled?

Mr. Gulabrao Devkar : Sir, Suggestion for action.

Mr. Girish Bapat: Sir, since the Hon. Minister sir has given positive answer, I ask permission from the house to withdraw the proposal.

The proposal was withdrawn on permission by the house

Board Speaker: Now I put up the question about taking the bill into consideration for polling

The question was put up for polling and got passed

Board Speaker: The bill has been taken into consideration. Now the bill will be taken into consideration chapter wise.

(Chapter 2 and 3 bill's part done)

(Chapter 1, complete heading and purpose sentence bill's part done)

Mr. Gulabrao Devkar: Sir, now I am putting a proposal to pass the L. A. B. Number – 18 of the year 2009, with your permission.

The question was put up for polling and got passed

Board Speaker: L. A. B. Number – 18 of the year 2009 has been passed

MOTION FOR DISAPPROVAL OF ORDINANCE OF NO. X OF 2009. (AN ORDINANCE FURTHER TO AMEND THE MAHARASHTRA PREVENTION OF DANGEROUS ACTIVITIES OF SLUM-LORDS, BOOTLEGGERS, DRUG OFFENDERS AND DANGEROUS PERSONS ACT, 1981) AND L. C. BILL NO. VII OF 2009 (A BILL FURTHER TO AMEND THE MAHARASHTRA PREVENTION OF DANGEROUS ACTIVITIES OF SLUM-LORDS, BOOTLEGGERS, DRUG OFFENDERS AND DANGEROUS PERSONS ACT, 1981) AND MOTIONS FOR REFERRING THE BILL TO JOINT SELECT COMMITTEE.

A BILL (AMENDMENT) FOR PREVENTION OF DANGEROUS ACTIVITIES OF SLUM-LORDS,
BOOTLEGGERS, DRUG OFFENDERS AND DANGEROUS PERSONS

Board Speaker : There is a proposal for disapproval of ordinance from Hon. Member Mr. Devendra Fadnavis, Sudhakar Deshmukh, Nanaji Shamkule, Krishna Khopade, Vikas Kumbhare, Vijay Ghodgare, Sudhir Mungantivar, Eknathrao Ganpatrao Khadse (Patil), Girish Patil, Girish Mahajan, Gopal Shetty, Prakash Mehta, Chainsukh Sancheti, Chandrashekhar Bawankule, Yogesh Sagar, Bala Nandgaonkar, Mahadev Babar, Subhash Desai. One of them should present the same.

Mr. Devendra Fadnavis (Nagpur South – West): Speaker Sir, I am presenting the following proposal with your permission : -

“This legislative Assembly disapproves ordinance of No. X of 2009, an ordinance further to amend the Maharashtra Prevention of Dangerous Activities of slum-lords, bootleggers, drug offenders and dangerous persons act, 1981.”

The proposal has been presented.

Mr. Ramesh Bagwe (Minister of state for Home Affairs): Speaker Sir, with your permission I am putting up L. A. bill No. VII of 2009, a bill further to amend the Maharashtra Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Slum-Lords, Bootleggers, Drug Offenders and Dangerous Persons Act, 1981 which was passed by the Legislative Assembly.

Board Speaker : The bill has been presented.

Mr. Ramesh Bagwe (Minister of state for Home Affairs): Speaker Sir, with your permission I am putting up proposal to consider L. A. bill No. VII of 2009, a bill further to amend the Maharashtra Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Slum-Lords, Bootleggers, Drug Offenders and Dangerous Persons Act, 1981.

The question was presented.

Board Speaker: The first proposal to send the bill to the joint committee was of member Mr. Sudhir Mungantiwar, Girish Mahajan, Prof. Atul Deshkar, Mr. Govardhan Sharma, Dadarao Keche. One of them should present the same.

Mr. Sudhir Mungantiwar (Ballarpur): Speaker Sir, with your permission I am putting up proposal to consider L. A. bill No. VII of 2009, a bill further to amend the Maharashtra Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Slum-Lords, Bootleggers, Drug Offenders and Dangerous Persons Act, 1981 and for that the bill should be sent after taking consent of Legislative Assembly may be sent for consideration on giving order to the 328 members' joint committee to present the reflex within six months.

The proposal was presented

A BILL (AMENDMENT) FOR PREVENTION OF DANGEROUS ACTIVITIES OF SLUM-LORDS,
BOOTLEGGERS, DRUG OFFENDERS AND DANGEROUS PERSONS

Board Speaker : The second proposal for sending the bill to the joint committee is from Hon. Member Mr. Girish Bapat, Mrs. Madhuri Misal and Mr. Sanjay Bhegde. One of them should present the same.

The proposal was not presented.

Board Speaker: Now there will be a combined discussion over proposal for disapproval of bill, question pertaining to taking the bill into consideration and proposal to send the bill to the joint committee. Thereafter, after the answering of the minister firstly the proposal about disapproval of bill will be put up for polling. After it gets disapproved, the proposal for sending the bill to the joint committee will be put up for polling. If that gets disapproved, the question for taking the bill into consideration will be put up for polling.

Now the Hon. Members should start their speech.

Mr. Devendra Fadnavis (Nagpur South – West): Speaker sir, I am standing to present my thoughts about the L. A. bill No. VII of 2009, a bill further to amend the Maharashtra Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Slum-Lords, Bootleggers, Drug Offenders and Dangerous Persons Act, 1981.

Speaker sir, through this bill, you are giving rights related to expel or banish the personnel doing video piracy. In this Maharashtra whenever there are huge crimes are occurring under the MPDA law vide different laws, at that time no one comes forward to give witness against the criminals. The criminals involved in such crimes get released by some or other reasons. For that, in order to take preventive action upon them, while we expel them then we are adding up one more category through this bill. Earlier there were only slum-lords, bootleggers, drug offenders and dangerous persons in this category. But now we are adding up the personnel carrying out video piracy into it. Really it is a correct factor, and is appreciable. Speaker sir, while putting up this bill I am going to put forward only two things. It is relentlessly found that the people on whom we take action vide MPDA law, it is many a times done to have political revenge. These actions are taken by having close relations with S.P., D. C. P., with motive to take revenge against political opponents or about a particular case/matter. At such times, the high court makes many orders to expel null and void related to MPDA and passes strictures upon us. Therefore, by virtue of this, it is needed that the government should give assurance of one thing, that while taking action of expelling, the given norms should be strictly followed upon. The government also gets stricture. The government expels someone, he /she goes to court and the court cancels the banishment, and passes strictures upon the government. Therefore, if someone has to be expelled or banished then it has to be thoroughly checked that whether the offences done are of that serious nature or not and then only appropriate action should be initiated.

A BILL (AMENDMENT) FOR PREVENTION OF DANGEROUS ACTIVITIES OF SLUM-LORDS,
BOOTLEGGERS, DRUG OFFENDERS AND DANGEROUS PERSONS

[Mr. Devendra Fadnavis]

Secondly, only making of law against the video piracy will not be fruitful. We see many such CD seller near Mantralaya. Speaker sir, if you go to any person on the Amdar Niwas road or to the further road, you can find the sale of pirated CDs is still going on. Don't we have laws for that? They are there, but no action is taken. In Mumbai and Nagpur it is going on without any hurdle. In Variety chowk you can find pirated CDs are openly sold. If we have law pertaining to it and then also we are not taking action is not correct. Even after the provision of MPDA if our system does not take action upon them then this provision will remain as it is. In the rights you have taken, you apply MPDA on only exhibition and not on the sale of the pirated material. Exhibition means they are distributing such kind of CDs. MPDA is applicable whoever is exhibiting. However, the one who is sitting to sell or consistently selling or openly selling through shops, may be applied with this law. Therefore, you should think in this way also, that the word 'sale' should also be put in. MPDA should be applied upon the seller as well. In future times, you should not keep mum that this law is in this form. Through this law, such mentality would surely finish and we won't be seeing the pirated CD sellers while going from Majestic Amdar Niwas to Gateway of India. Speaker sir, if you give such assurance to the Police then only this law will prove beneficial. The law is good, there is no doubt. Hastiness has been shown to bring upon the ordinance therefore resolution of disapproval has been given here. My only request is that henceforth, no need of issuing such ordinance, just bring them directly to the house and get them passed, now I finish my short speech.

Mr. Girish Bapat (Kasba Peth): Speaker sir, I have one suggestion in regards to the bill. We are making new addition pertaining to expelling and banishing. The Hon. Home Minister is also present here. I had experience at Pune, that after issuing the order to expel by Pune's CP, someone approaches us and requests whether something can be done about the same. But the person who has been expelled, he tells that nothing has happened, so you don't have to worry. I will go to the Mantralaya and get it done. Speaker sir, I will give you many such examples. We are creating soft fields by making new rules. If you want to thrust different categories in this then you should think upon it without fail. I can understand about the court putting stay. Not on the Mantralaya level, but on administrative level, there are examples of many expelled of Pune city are getting stay. You should check it without fail and if you don't find them, I will give you. The law should not be misused. I have told many a times, before the law is made, it's shortcut or bypass is already found. If you would be allowing such short cuts then there will be no meaning to such law. Law should be such, that no one can utter a single word upon it.

A BILL (AMENDMENT) FOR PREVENTION OF DANGEROUS ACTIVITIES OF SLUM-LORDS,
BOOTLEGGERS, DRUG OFFENDERS AND DANGEROUS PERSONS

[Mr. Girish Bapat]

If you are allowing shortcuts while making the law and the personnel against whom it is actually made get escaped and by mistake someone gets involved, then he has to face the action of getting banished. You should take care that this law should not be misused. I only have this much to speak in this regard and finish my speech. Thank you!

Mr. Sudhir Mungantiwar (Ballarpur): Speaker Sir, Hon. Home Minister has brought this bill for discussion. Entire house has respect about Hon. Minister Mr. R.R. Patil. There is no doubt that he has made this bill thoughtfully and upon complete study.

Speaker Sir, in this event, I want to ask only two question to Hon. Home Minister. I request that he should answer them during his speech.

Speaker sir, take action against slum-lords, bootleggers, drug offenders and dangerous persons as per Maharashtra Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Slum-Lords, Bootleggers, Drug Offenders and Dangerous Persons Act, 1981. However, it should not happen that those who sit on road side for selling, they are generally poor, no action should be done upon them. Instead, the place where these CDs are procured, and those who are distributors of the pirated CDs, should be dealt upon. The CD sellers on the road side earn Rs. 40 – 50 a day, they are poor, unemployed, if actions is taken upon them then no one will even come forward to give bail.

Speaker sir, the second subject is that, the bill states that it is required to pump up new energy in the film industry. If such nature's law get passed, then the film industry will get energized. However, until and unless there is change in the story structure of the films till then, I don't thing new energy will get pumped up and no one will turn up to see the movies in cinema halls. Movies of current time it is seen that extremely short clothing, in some movies totally no cloths, and body exposure is going on. During earlier times monks used live on single undergarment, and if the actress are going to expose like them, then no fresh energy will get created even after extreme efforts by the government. If soft minds are going to get cultured in such fashion then new energy would not get pumped into the film industry. The government should take care that no ill effect should take upon the mind of gentleman like Hon. Member Mr. Girish Bapat.

Speaker sir, the government has taken decision that cinema halls would be made available 30 days a year for Marathi movies. Cinema halls like INOX have a per person rate of 350 to 400 for each movie. Therefore, if at all good Marathi movies are to be picturized then strict compliance of the decision taken by government, should be done. I finally request Hon. Home Minister to explain in this regard, and finish my speech.

<illegible>

15 December 2020

A BILL (AMENDMENT) FOR PREVENTION OF DANGEROUS ACTIVITIES OF SLUM-LORDS, BOOTLEGGERS, DRUG OFFENDERS AND DANGEROUS PERSONS

Mr. Ramesh Bagwe (Minister of state for Home Affairs): Speaker Sir, discussion is going on in this house on L. A. bill No. VII of 2009, a bill further to amend the Maharashtra Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Slum-Lords, Bootleggers, Drug Offenders and Dangerous Persons Act, 1981...

Adv. Ashish Jaiswal: Speaker Sir, I only want to request that in virtue of the bill that our expectation is that instead of taking action against the sellers, it should be initiated against the makers.

Mr. Vijay Shिवtare: Speaker sir, there is huge contamination of milk is going on in the state. Therefore necessary action should be taken against the contaminators.

Mr. Ramesh Bagwe (Minister of state for Home Affairs): Speaker Sir, contamination of milk is a different issue. This bill has got no concern with it.

Speaker Sir, due to video piracy generally transactions of Rs. 1500 crores take place in the cine world each year. Due to this piracy loss of 30 to 35 percent i.e. 400 to 500 crores take place. Revenue earned vide Entertainment tax and VAT gets loss of 100 to 150 crores. Therefore, the need of this law has come up.

Speaker sir, as told by the Hon. Member Mr. Devendra Fadnavis and Hon. Member Mr. Girish Bapat, there is no provision to expel in this. For such criminals there is provision of 1 year confinement. If we have to punish as per this law, then as per copyright act, 1957 before any breach of copyrights related to movie or sound recording, there should be atleast one charge sheet filed against this person and the court should have taken due consideration of such offence. Punishment of 6 months to 3 years has been proposed in this. Also penalty of Rupees 50 thousand to 1 lakh has been also proposed. This bill has been presented so that the public arrangement in the society should not get hampered and the criminality gets prohibited. Hence I request the honourable house members to pass this bill.

Mr. Sudhir Mungantiwar: Speaker sir, no answer has been given by the Hon. Minister sir about each cinema hall has to show Marathi movies 30 days a year.

Mr. R. R. Patil (Home Minister) : Hon. Speaker, the rule pertaining to this is that, each cinema hall has to show Marathi movies 30 days a year. I have received complaint for Marathi Movie Producers. I had taken joint meeting with movie producers and owners of the cinema hall. In that meeting, the owners of the cinema halls showed readiness to make their halls available for Marathi movies. Speaker sir, at some places the distributors are not ready to take on the movies. At some places Marathi movies are not watched. Second reason

15 December 2020

A BILL (AMENDMENT) FOR PREVENTION OF DANGEROUS ACTIVITIES OF SLUM-LORDS, BOOTLEGGERS, DRUG OFFENDERS AND DANGEROUS PERSONS

[Mr. R. R. Patil]

is that such time slots are given for those movies when Marathi movie lovers may not turn up. Evening show time slots are not given to them. Afternoon show times gets allotted. For solving the issue of not getting show time of the evening slot, I had formed a committee and instructed them to solve the issue within yourselves by sitting together. Rights pertaining to this were given to the Marathi Cinema producers. It was decided to act as per their disclosure. What has happened regarding this, that I have to check up. On behalf of the government I give the statement to the house that the multiplex theatres, cinema theatres who have the demand of making them available for a month in a year for Marathi Cinema shows then they should do accordingly, otherwise the reliefs given to them in entertainment tax would be cancelled.

About reduction suggestions for Appropriation Bill

Mr. Devendra Fadnavis: Speaker sir, Hon. Minister of Parliamentary Affairs is present in the house. My request to him is that, earlier on the day there used to be session for Appropriation bill, the reduction suggestion on Appropriation Bills were accepted till one hour prior to session for Appropriation bill. Now the new rule has been made that those should be submitted till five o' clock of the previous day, many of the hon. Members may not be aware about it because they are new members. My request to Hon. Minister sir, that this new rule may not be applied at least for this convention. Hon. Members remain functional for the whole day. This rule is not known to us. At least for this convention, earlier instructions related to Appropriation Bill may be applied. The new rule made in this regard may be made applicable next convention onwards. The circular issued in this convention has not reached to many honourable members. The house has the rights to accept on the basis of exception.

Mr. Harshvardhan Patil: Suggestion of the Honourable member is correct, however the change has been incorporated in the rule book pertaining to changed rule. At this stage it won't be appropriate to do so. At the time of Function Advisory Committee meeting, this subject can be discussed.

Mr. Devendra Fadnavis: Speaker Sir, the house has the rights to accept on the basis of exception. In spite that it has come into the rule book, the house has the rights to change it. We work in the house for the whole day. In exceptional condition we can take decision and there is no reason to have any kind of hurdle about it. We many a times relax the rules. The honourable speaker sir has the right to relax the rule. I accept that the information which was required in this regard is not found. I was in the house for the whole day. I have not gone anywhere to roam. The honourable speaker sir and the house have the rights to make exception to the rule, therefore this suggestions may be accepted till tomorrow, suggestions of two three honourable members <illegible> the new rule may be made applicable next convention onwards. There will be no hurdle due to this about suggestions to be given for Appropriation Bill.

About reduction suggestions for Appropriation Bill

Board Speaker: the government will intervene.

Mr. Devendra Fadnavis: Speaker sir, it is a very minor topic. All <illegible> pertaining to Budget are changed. In the rule book it is said that, the discussion on the budget went on for 14 days, factually, do the government carries out discussion on this for 14 days? Each time the proposal is presented and it is concluded in three days and we accept it, I make this minor request which may be accepted by the government.

Mr. Harshvardhan Patil: Speaker sir, it is your right to deal in this. As a member, we don't have any objection to it. If it is to be accepted as an exception then it could be. You have already mentioned this in the rule book. We always get co-operation from the honourable member Mr. Devendra Fadnavis.

Mr. Devendra Fadnavis: Speaker Sir, since now you have given consent to this by accepting our confession of being in fault, the house should take decision.

Board Speaker: Okay.

MOTION FOR DISAPPROVAL OF ORDINANCE OF NO. X OF 2009. (AN ORDINANCE FURTHER TO AMEND THE MAHARASHTRA PREVENTION OF DANGEROUS ACTIVITIES OF SLUM-LORDS. BOOTLEGGERS, DRUG OFFENDERS AND DANGEROUS PERSONS ACT, 1981) AND L. C. BILL NO. VII OF 2009 (A BILL FURTHER TO AMEND THE MAHARASHTRA PREVENTION OF DANGEROUS ACTIVITIES OF SLUM-LORDS. BOOTLEGGERS, DRUG OFFENDERS AND DANGEROUS PERSONS ACT, 1981) AND MOTIONS FOR REFERRING THE BILL TO JOINT SELECT COMMITTEE.

(Discussion continues further...)

Board Speaker: Does Hon. Member Mr. Devendra Fadnavis wish to withdraw his proposal to disapprove the ordinance?

Mr. Devendra Fadnavis: Speaker sir, I ask permission from the house to withdraw my proposal about disapproval of ordinance.

The proposal has been withdrawn with due permission of the house.

Board Speaker : Does Hon. Member Mr. Sudhir Mungantiwar wish to withdraw his proposal to send the bill to the joint committee?

A BILL (AMENDMENT) FOR PREVENTION OF DANGEROUS ACTIVITIES OF SLUM-LORDS, BOOTLEGGERS, DRUG OFFENDERS AND DANGEROUS PERSONS

Mr. Sudhir Mungantiwar: Speaker sir, I ask permission from the house to withdraw my proposal about sending the bill to the joint committee.

The proposal has been withdrawn with due permission of the house.

Board Speaker: Now I put up the question about taking the bill into consideration for polling

The question was put up for polling and got passed

Board Speaker: The bill has been taken into consideration. Now the bill will be taken into consideration chapter wise.

Bill's Chapter 2 to 6 (both inclusive) parts done.

Chapter 1, complete heading and purpose sentence bill's part done

Mr. Ramesh Bagve: Sir, now I am putting a proposal to pass the L. A. B. Number – VII of the year 2009, with your permission.

The question was put up for polling and got passed

Board Speaker: L. A. B. Number – VII of the year 2009 has been passed.

Half an hour discussion brought forward by Mr. Sudhir Mungantiwar about almost all the Lift Irrigation Scheme in Vidarbha remaining shut

Mr. Sudhir Mungantiwar (Ballarpur): Speaker sir, with your permission I bring forward half an hour discussion on the following subject vide rule 94

“104 lift irrigation schemes in 10 districts of Vidarbha are operational on co-operative basis and the funding required for this scheme has been taken as loan by the farmers on 17 to 18 percent rate from District Central Bank. For the reasons of the amount of this loan is Rs. 40 crores, the said scheme has got trapped in loan and interest jumbling, the scheme is not getting looked after well, almost all lift irrigation schemes are in shut down condition. Since those lift irrigation schemes are in shut condition and no water supply to the field, the participant farmers of this scheme are in debt. If the Vidarbha Irrigation Corporation undertakes all these lift irrigation schemes, 65000 acre land will get coverage of irrigation and around 50 thousand farmers will get benefitted. Immediate action to be taken by government and government's reaction.”

Speaker sir, this convention is taking place on the land of Vidarbha. The farmers, general people of Vidarbha have expectations by virtue of this convention that when Government comes to Vidarbha for conducting convention then in this convention, Vidarbha's farmers, poor and weak, harassed, troubled